

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ,	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/684,265	10/13/2003	Romeo Pohn	S&S-1200A	1738
22827	7590 03/30/2006		EXAMINER	
DORITY & MANNING, P.A.			JIMENEZ, MARC QUEMUEL	
POST OFFICE GREENVILL	E BOX 1449 E, SC 29602-1449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3726	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Office Action Summary		10/68	34,265	POHN ET AL.				
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
			Jimenez	3726				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm to period for reply is specified above, the maximum start re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In foundation, atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUI no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M e application to become	NICATION. The repty be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
· —		 2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	,		atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>27-55</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>27-55</u> are subject to restric	re withdrawn from						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing	(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is re	equired if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner	r. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen			<b>∧</b> □	W.C., T. C. (CTO. 440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3726

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A: Claim 32

Species B: Claim 33

The species are independent or distinct because Species A and Species B are drawn to patentably distinct coating methods.

In addition to electing one of Species A or B above, applicant must also elect one of the following patentably distinct species:

Species I: Figure 4

Species II: Figure 7

Species III: Figure 9

Species IV: Figure 10

The species are independent or distinct because the species are drawn to different patentably distinct connections of the support.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 27 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is

allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 3726

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Jimenez whose telephone number is (571) 272-4530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4491. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toN-free).

Marc Jimenez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

MJ 3-20-06